with the "diffuse" axial and subsequent proximal muscle distribution of the classic disorder.[9] Our patient differs from those reported with stiff leg syndrome in that an occult malignancy was present. Unfortunately, we were unable to obtain electrophysiological studies for comparison. The search for a paraneoplastic process was based on the findings of axillary lymphadenopathy and an abnormal CSF. Our patient is only the second reported patient with paraneoplastic SMS associated with anti-GAD antibody; the other had upper limb rigidity in the setting of breast cancer and additionally mounted an immune response to amphiphysin.[8]

Paraneoplastic processes can affect any component of the nervous system and, occasionally, multiple levels, as in the syndrome of sensory neuronopathy-encephalomyelitis. Our patient's findings were not entirely consistent with criteria for classic SMS[9] in that an apparent encephalopathy and a small fibre neuropathy were identified—for example, her dysautonomia (tachycardia and relative hypertension) during spasms may have been a manifestation of involvement of small fibres. The role of autoantibodies in the pathogenesis of SMS and cancer is unclear.[2 7 8] Via its probable function in endocytosis,[10] amphiphysin has been postulated to play a part in the regulation of growth factor internalisation; however, the absence of an autoimmune response to this autoantigen in our patient suggests that other mechanisms of oncogenesis in SMS exist. Given anecdotal evidence of improvement in paraneoplastic SMS after treating the underlying malignancy,[8] we suggest that all patients with SMS, diffuse or focal, be screened for occult cancer.

ISAAC E SILVERMAN
Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, USA

Correspondence to: Dr I E Silverman, Johns Hopkins Hospital, Pathology 509, 600 North Wolfe Street, Baltimore, MD 21287, USA. Telephone 001 410 955 6626; fax 001 410 614 1008; email iesilver@jhmi.edu

1 Solimena M, Folli F, Aparisi R, *et al*. Autoantibodies to gaba-ergic neurons and pancreatic β cells in stiff-man syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 1990;**322**:1555–60.
2 Folli F, Solimena M, Cofiell R, *et al*. Autoantibodies to a 128-kd synaptic protein in three women with the stiff-man syndrome and breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 1993;**328**:546–51.
3 Brown P, Rothwell JC, Marsden CD. The stiff leg syndrome. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1997;**62**:31–7.
4 Saiz A, Graus F, Valldeoriola F, *et al*. Stiff-leg syndrome: a focal form of stiff-man syndrome. *Ann Neurol* 1998;**43**:400–3.
5 Barker RA, Revesz T, Thom M, *et al*. Review of 23 patients affected by the stiff man syndrome: clinical subdivision into stiff trunk (man) syndrome, stiff limb syndrome, and progressive encephalomyelitis with rigidity. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1998;**65**:633–40.
6 McCarthy BG, Hsieh S-T, Stocks A, *et al*. Cutaneous innervation in sensory neuropathies: evaluation by skin biopsy. *Neurology* 1995;**45**:1848–55.
7 Kissel JT, Elble RJ. Stiff-person syndrome: stiff opposition to a simple explanation. *Neurology* 1998;**51**:11–14.
8 Rosin L, De Camilli P, Butler M, *et al*. Stiff-man syndrome in a woman with breast cancer: an uncommon central nervous system paraneoplastic syndrome. *Neurology* 1998;**50**:94–8.
9 Lorisch TR, Thorsteinsson G, Howard FM. Stiff-man syndrome updated. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1989;**64**:629–36.
10 David C, McPherson PS, Mundigl O, *et al*. A role of amphiphysin in synaptic vesicle endocytosis suggested by its binding to dynamin in nerve terminals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1996;**93**:331–5.

Tetrodotoxin intoxication in a uraemic patient

Tetrodotoxin intoxication results from ingesting puffer fish or other animals containing the toxin. Clinical presentation is mainly acute motor weakness and respiratory paralysis. Death is common in the worst affected victims. Although the severity of the symptoms generally depends on the amount of toxin ingested, it may be influenced by the victim's medical condition, as described in this report. The patient was a 52 year old uraemic woman. The uraemia was of undefined aetiology. Over the past 3 years she has received regular haemodialysis. One day both she and her husband, a healthy 55 year old man, ate a fish soup. About 4 hours after the meal she developed a headache and a lingual and circumoral tingling sensation and numbness at the distal parts of all four limbs. She was dizzy and unsteady, had difficulty in swallowing, and became very weak. She was taken to the emergency service and was placed on machine assisted ventilation as respiratory distress and cyanosis developed. Her husband remained asymptomatic throughout this time.

The patient's condition kept on deteriorating, developing eventually into a comatous-like state with no spontaneous or reflexive eye opening or limb movement within 30 minutes of intubation. On neurological examination, the pupillary light reflex was absent and oculocephalic manoeuvre elicited no ocular movements. All four limbs were areflexic and Babinski's signs were absent. Brain CT and laboratory studies of arterial blood gas (under assisted ventilation), electrolytes, liver function, blood glucose, and CSF study were unremarkable. An examination of renal function indicated chronic renal insufficiency with mild azotaemia (urea nitrogen 70 mg/dl, creatinine 9.1 mg/dl). An EEG, recorded 18 hours after the onset of symptoms when the neurological condition was unchanged, showed posterior dominant alpha waves intermixing with trains of short duration, diffuse theta waves. When brief noxious stimuli were applied to the sternum, they were replaced transiently by beta activities. The findings suggested that the profound neurological dysfunction might be peripheral in origin. The patient was given a course of haemodialysis according to the set schedule for uraemia at 21 hours after onset of the symptoms. Her condition improved dramati-



Changes in the symptoms of poisoning in relation to each course of haemodialysis. Scales in the vertical axis represent the arbitrary measurements of severity of each symptom; the numbers indicating day(s) after onset; ↓= haemodialysis).

cally within an hour. She could open her eyes and she communicated and answered questions correctly by blinking. Pupillary reflex recovered and voluntary eye movements were limited only at the extreme lateral gaze. Muscle power was grade 3 and 4 in the proximal and distal parts of the four limbs. Tendon reflexes were still absent. She was taken off mechanical ventilation the next day. Her clinical condition continued to improve and her symptoms subsided in a stepwise pattern, in response to each course of haemodialysis (figure). When recalling, she could remember certain events such as the recording of the EEG, but was "too weak to move" at that time. She regained her initial strength by the time she was discharged on day 16.

When analysing the remains of the cooked fish (identified as *Yongeichthys nebulosus*), tetrodotoxin was demonstrated by thin layer chromatography, high performance liquid chromatography, and cellulose acetate membrane electrophoresis. Toxicity was assayed by using Institute of Cancer Research strain adult male mice and the toxicity score was 25 mouse units (MU)/g in fish muscle (1 MU=0.178 μg in the ICR strain mouse).[1]

Tetrodotoxin exerts its effect through binding with and blocking the voltage dependent sodium channel.[2] The voltage clamp experiments showed that tetradotoxin diminished the early sodium inward current responsible for the depolarisation of excitatory membrane. The gating properties of the sodium channel, such as the activation and inactivation mechanism, are not altered—that is, the sodium channel is not permanently damaged and its function recovers when the bound toxin is released. In uraemia, ion conductance through the sodium channel is also impaired. Sodium permeability through excitatory membranes is reduced and small inward sodium current and reduced action potential amplitudes are noted in experimental uraemic neuropathy.[3] By contrast with the effects of tetrodotoxin, uraemia changes the basic property of the sodium channel by an increased inactivation and an impaired activation mechanism. The excitability of peripheral nerves will be more significantly depressed when these two conditions combine. The synergistic effect of uraemia and tetrodotoxin is obvious in this incident in which the patient and her husband ingested roughly an equal amount of tetrodotoxin (about 200 μg, calculated from toxic score times the weight of ingested fish). The amount is about 10% of the estimated lethal dose in humans—2200 μg/60 kg body weight[4] (body weights of the patient and her husband were 54.5 and 62 kg respectively)—and caused no clinical evidence of poisoning in the healthy person. It was of interest that the CNS was relatively spared from the toxicity as the EEG showed a posterior dominant, promptly reactive alpha rhythm and the patient retained consciousness when the symptoms were at their most severe.

One of the most striking clinical features in our patient was the response to haemodialysis. Despite the small amount of toxin ingested, the dramatic improvement of her clinical condition was most likely attributed to the rapid elimination of absorbed toxin in the course of haemodialysis, rather than spontaneous recovery. The physical and chemical properties of tetrodotoxin are also supportive to this hypothesis.[5] It has a low molecular weight ($C_{11}H_{17}N_3O_8$), is water soluble, and is not significantly bound to protein—all these features are often found in toxins amenable to haemodialysis. Traditionally, the management of tetrodotoxin intoxication is mainly supportive, such as gastric lavage to remove unabsorbed toxin and machine assisted ventilation when respiration is severely affected. We suggest that haemodialysis may be an effective method in the treatment of tetrodotoxin intoxication.

MIN-YU LAN
SHUNG-LON LAI
SHUN-SHENG CHEN
Department of Neurology, Kaohsiung Medical College, Kaohsiung City, Taiwan

DENG-FWU HWANG
Department of Food Science, National Taiwan Ocean University, Keelung City, Taiwan

Correspondence to: Dr Shun-Sheng Chen, Department of Neurology, Kaohsiung Medical College Hospital, 100 Shih-Chung 1st Road, Kaohsiung City 807, Taiwan. Telephone 00886 7 3121101 ext 6771; fax 00886 7 3234237; email sheng@mail.nsysu.edu.tw

1 Hwang DF, Jeng SS. Bioassay of tetrodotoxin using ICR mouse strain. *J Chinese Biochem Soc* 1991;**20**:80–6.
2 Takata M, Moore JW, Kao CY, *et al*. Blockage of sodium conductance increase in lobster giant axon by tarichatoxin (tetrodotoxin). *J Gen Physiol* 1966;**49**:977–88.
3 Brismar T, Tegnèr R. Experimental uremic neuropathy. Part 2. Sodium permeability decrease and inactivation in potential clamped nerve fibers. *J Neurol Sci* 1984;**65**:37–45.
4 Tani I. Toxicological studies of puffers in Japan. *Teikoku-Tosho (Tokyo)* 1945:103.
5 Kao CY. Tetrodotoxin, saxitoxin and their significance in the study of excitation phenomena. *Pharmacol Rev* 1966;**18**:997–1049.

Relation between critical illness polyneuropathy and axonal Guillain-Barré syndrome

The clinical entity critical illness polyneuropathy occurs almost exclusively in patients in critical care units and has been characterised as a complication of sepsis and multiple organ failure.[1 2] Critical illness polyneuropathy may be a common cause of the difficulty in weaning patients from the ventilator, particularly those who show intractable ventilator dependence. All the measures used to prevent or treat sepsis and multiple organ failure are the main methods now used to deal with critical illness polyneuropathy. Knowledge of this type of polyneuropathy is of help in making decisions about respirator techniques, nursing care, prognosis, and overall management. Moreover, recognition of critical illness polyneuropathy indicates the need for physiotherapy, rehabilitation, and other supportive measures as the patient recovers. Bolton *et al*[1] have made an important positive contribution to the care of patients with critical illness polyneuropathy. The actual aetiology, however, has yet to be determined. The pathogenesis needs to be clarified to treat patients more effectively.

Critical illness polyneuropathy invariably occurs at the peak of critical illness and sepsis, but in Guillain-Barré syndrome there is a brief period of recovery after a relatively minor illness or inoculation. Except for differences in the predisposing causes, as Bolton *et al*[1] reported, it is difficult to distinguish critical illness polyneuropathy from Guillain-Barré syndrome on purely clinical grounds. In both, polyneuropathy runs a monophasic course, the onset being relatively acute but with subsequent improvement in most instances. The clinical features also are similar; evidence of muscle weakness in all



four limbs, occasional involvement of facial muscles and frequent involvement of the muscles of respiration, the depression or absence of deep tendon reflexes, and some evidence of distal sensory impairment.

The first step by Bolton *et al*[1] in determining exact aetiology was to differentiate critical illness polyneuropathy from Guillain-Barré syndrome. In reviewing the patients with critical illness polyneuropathy and Guillain-Barré syndrome who were studied in their EMG laboratory, they found marked differences between the two types of polyneuropathy. Patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome had greater slowing of the speed of impulse conduction, and, in the initial stages, abnormal spontaneous activity in the muscle was absent, indicative of a predominantly demyelinating polyneuropathy. The CSF was only mildly increased in patients with critical illness polyneuropathy, but it was much increased in patients with Guillain-Barré syndrome. Comprehensive studies done at necropsy and nerve biopsies of patients with critical illness polyneuropathy showed the presence of primary axonal degeneration of the motor and sensory fibres, mainly distally, with no evidence of inflammation.[2] Zochodne *et al* (including Bolton) therefore concluded that the two types of polyneuropathies most probably are separate entities.

Guillain and colleagues enumerated the clinical and spinal fluid features of one form of acute flaccid paralysis without regard for the underlying physiology or pathology. Classic pathological studies of Guillain-Barré syndrome, however, have identified prominent demyelination and inflammatory infiltrates in the spinal roots and nerves. Guillain-Barré syndrome often has been considered to be synonymous with the pathological designation of acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy, and physiological abnormalities consistent with demyelination have been taken as supportive evidence for the diagnosis of Guillain-Barré syndrome. Feasby *et al* (with Bolton)[3] first called attention to patients who were clinically considered as having Guillain-Barré syndrome, but who were characterised electrophysiologically as having early axonal degeneration of the motor and sensory nerve fibres. The evidence included a rapid fall in compound muscle action potentials and sensory nerve action potentials, and no evidence of demyelination. Such patients often had severe paralysis and made a slow recovery, presumably reflecting the need to regenerate axons rather than remyelination. Pathological findings are consistent with axonal degeneration without demyelination. Feasby *et al*[3] termed this pattern *axonal Guillain-Barré syndrome* and suggested that there is a fundamental difference in the underlying pathophysiology, resulting in primary axonal damage rather than demyelination. Griffin *et al*[4] then confirmed the existence of the acute motor-sensory axonal neuropathy (AMSAN) pattern of Guillain-Barré syndrome described by Feasby *et al*.[3]

Infection caused by the gram negative bacterium *Campylobacter jejuni*, a leading cause